

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/774,193	02/06/2004	Robert E. Ober	J0658.0013	6992
38881 7. DICKSTEIN SH	590 04/11/2007	EXAMINER		
1177 AVENUE	OF THE AMERICAS	CHAVIS, JOHN Q		
NEW YORK, N	Y 10036-2714		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2193	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	THS	04/11/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 04/11/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

IPDocketing-NY@dicksteinshapiro.com brutmanl@dicksteinshapiro.com rosadob@dicksteinshapiro.com

<u> </u>					
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/774,193	OBER ET AL.		
Office Action S	ummary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		John Chavis	2193		
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	this communication app	ears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	s	
A SHORTENED STATUTOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, I Extensions of time may be available u after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailin If NO period for reply is specified abov Failure to reply within the set or extend Any reply received by the Office later earned patent term adjustment. See 3	FROM THE MAILING DA nder the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 g date of this communication. e, the maximum statutory period v fed period for reply will, by statute, than three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this commun. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status			•		
 Responsive to communication is FINAL. Since this application is closed in accordance with the communication is closed. 	2b)⊠ This s in condition for allowar	action is non-final.	• •	rits is	
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are and a size and	s) is/are withdrawallowed. ected. objected to.	vn from consideration.		·	
Application Papers					
	is/are: a) accent that any objection to the elect(s) including the correct	epted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyand ion is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (c) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.7		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/22/05.	awing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date ormal Patent Application _·		

Art Unit: 2193

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1-4, 7-11, 14, 15-17, 20-23, 24-26, 29-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wygodny et al. (7,058,928).

What is claimed is:

Wygodny

1. A method of generating a program trace on a multithreaded processor, the method comprising:

See the title, abstract and col. 4 lines 57-64.

detecting issuance of a first instruction:

See col. 4 lines 12-14 in which the phrase "after calling a certain function" provide for detecting issuance of a first instruction. See also lines 23-25.

generating a first program trace entry for the first instruction,

See col. 5 lines 32-53.

Application/Control Number: 10/774,193

Art Unit: 2193

wherein the first program trace entry includes a first thread ID for the first instruction:

detecting issuance of a second instruction;

generating a second program trace entry for the second instruction,

wherein the second program trace entry includes a second thread ID for the second instruction; and

wherein the first thread ID is different than the second thread ID.

2. The method of claim 1, further comprising: *detecting* the occurrence of a thread switch; and

performing a synchronization operation in response to the thread switch.

- 3. The method of claim 2, wherein the synchronization operation further comprises *inserting* a number equal to the number of instructions issued since a previous synchronization operation into the program trace.
- 4. The method of claim 2, wherein the synchronization operation further comprises *inserting* a program counter into the program trace.

This feature is considered inherent to enable identification of the active thread, see col. 9 lines 61-67.

See col. 20 lines 55-67.

" " " " " See also col. 21 lines 1-2.

See col. 29 lines 18-25 and col. 31 lines 60-61.

See col. 33 lines 44.

See col. 16 lines 21-29, col. 19 lines 64-col. 20 line 17

Application/Control Number: 10/774,193

Art Unit: 2193

7. The method of claim 1, further comprising periodically *performing* synchronization operations with the program trace.

See the rejection of claim 2.

Page 4

Claims 8-11 are rejected as claims 1-4.

As per claim 14, see the rejection of claim 7.

The features of claims 15-17, and 24-26 are taught via claim 1.

Claims 20 and 29 are taught via claim 7.

As per claims 21 and 30, see the rejection of claim 7 in view of claim 4.

See the rejection of claim 2 in view of claims 22-23 and 31-32.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 5-6; 12-13, 18-19, and 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wygodny, as taught above, in view of the applicant's choice of conserving memory usage by reducing the size of traces.
- 5. The method of claim 1, further comprising *compressing* the program trace.

Wygodny indicates that traces can consume a lot of space (col. 16 lines 21-29. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to compress traces to conserve space as a

Application/Control Number: 10/774,193

Art Unit: 2193

Page 5

selective choice of design to reduce storage consumption.

The ID's provide for tokenizing of traces.

6. The method of claim 5, wherein the *compressing* the program trace comprises tokenizing the first program trace entry and the second program trace entry.

Claims 12-13, 18-19 and 27-28 are rejected as claims 5-6.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Chavis whose telephone number is (571) 272-3720. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:00am-5:30pm, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (571) 272-3756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JC

John Chavis

Primary Examiner AU-2193